Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,477	PANDIT, RAM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Romain Jeanty	3624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Romain Jeanty.	(3)		
(2) Matthew T Nesbitt.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 03 August 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3-7,9-13 and 15-18</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: None.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A			
Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Examiner's Amendment.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (So MPEP Section 713.04) Is a reyly to be last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAIL MOD DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATAMAY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			

/Romain Jeanty/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3624 U.S. Petent and Tredemark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)